



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,703	03/19/2001	Terrance Moore	24996	9723

7590 07/24/2007  
Jeffrey S Whittle  
Bracewell & Patterson LLP  
711 Louisiana Street  
Suite 2900  
Houston, TX 77002-2781

EXAMINER
----------

FRENEL, VANEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3627

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/24/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/812,703

Applicant(s)

MOORE ET AL.

Examiner

Vanel Frenel

Art Unit

3627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vanel Frenel & Gilligan Luke.

(3) Jeff Whittle.

(2) Alex Kalinovski (SPE Art Unit 3629).

(4) Jonathon Harle.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.


Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leet (6,000,828) and Changing Physician Behavior is tool to reduce Health care costs by Rosentein, Alan H., Shulkin, David. Health Care Strategic Management. Chicago: Sep 1991, Vol.9, Iss.9 pg.14, 3pgs..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiners and Applicant's Representative discussed claims 1, 8 and 13. Suggestions are made to better recite the claimed invention by clarifying active steps of claims 1, 8, and 13. Examiner will reconsider the applied references in light of amendments in accordance with changes discussed, and update his search. The Examiners, however, agree to enter the amendment after final and direct such proposed amendments to claims 1, 8, and 13 define over the cited art.  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
C. Luke Gilligan  
Primary Patent Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required